Key to Log:

File Name: Name of excel files containing the data for that month. File names have two or three parts: 1) the two-letter site abbreviation (GJ, HB, BA, etc.), 2) the year and month (ie. -0201), and 3) the nature of the file ("-raw" contains the raw, unaltered data; "-QAQC" contains the quality controlled data set as well as all corrections; the file name that ends with the year and month is the quality controlled file containing only the corrected and finalized data—this is the file sent to the archive) *Deployments:* Number of different sondes that recorded data during the month and the periods of dates/times of each deployment.

<u>Condition of Sonde</u>: The post-deployment condition of each sonde deployed during the month. This includes information on fouling, equipment failures and whether post-deployment checks were performed.

<u>*Removed Data*</u>: Tabulation of all data points removed from a given month. "Trimming on ends of data sets" is a record of all data points removed from either the beginning or the end of the different files in order to create a seamless monthly record (most points removed here were data not recorded in the water, but rather, were point recorded prior to deployment or following retrieval); "Removal of bad data" is a record of data deemed to be of low quality (for example, data out of range of instrument, instrument or probe failures, etc... See Word file "QAQCGuidelines.doc" for criteria used). Table columns give the parameter values deleted, the reason for the deletion (see abbreviations) and the dates and times of points deleted.

<u>Corrected data</u>: This is a record of all data points that were corrected. This includes corrections due to instrument drift, fouling, incorrect instrument calibration, etc. Included are probe readings in the standard pre- and post-deployment and excel formulae used to calculate corrected values. Inability to correct data due to lack of proper post-deployment check procedures or substandard sonde condition (eg. heavily fouled) may also be noted here.

Missing data: This is a record of all missing data points not due to the QA/QC process (ie. not accounted for in "Removal of bad data"). A common cause for this missing data is a lag time between the retrieval of one sonde and the deployment of the second sonde or failure of the instrument to log data at a given time.

<u>Problems and Anomalies</u>: This is a record of troublesome trends or data points not removed from data set, but that could prove a problem in interpretation. Examples include sudden jumps in the data when sondes are changed out (reflecting drift in retrieved sonde or a lack of standardization between the two sondes). Notes regarding reliability of data (whether or not it is or may be faulty) may also be found here. ALWAYS read this section before interpreting data.

Abbreviations:

IF =	Instrument Failure: Data logger returned values of –6999
PF =	Probe Failure: Probe measuring individual parameter apparently malfunctioned.
ADL =	Above Detection Limit: data logger returned a data point that is above the detection limit
	of the probe
BDL =	Below Detection Limit: data logger returned a data point that is above the detection limit
	of the probe
SND =	Sonde Not Deployed: evidence indicates that sonde was not in the water on-site when
	data was recorded
FOUL =	Fouled: evidence indicates sonde was not functioning properly due to severe fouling
EXP =	Exposed: Sonde was exposed to air due to low water level or some disturbance.
NMD =	Next month's data: trimmed data belonged to next month
PMD =	Previous month's data: trimmed data belonged to previous month

General Notes on Reliability of Data:

1) In general, measurements of temperature and depth are very reliable unless otherwise noted in "Problems and Anomalies".

Salinity is typically reliable, but this data can be compromised by bad calibrations and fouling. These effects are most obvious as sudden discontinuities in the trend when sondes are changed. If the discontinuity that occurs with a sonde change is more than +/- 2 ppt in magnitude, the discontinuity is noted as a faulty trend.
 Measurements of dissolved oxygen are often not reliable. Typically, oxygen measurements taken soon after a sonde is deployed are reliable, but reliability decreases during the deployment period due to instrument drift and fouling. The most unreliable oxygen data is that collected near the time the sonde is retrieved. ALWAYS read "Problems and Anomalies" before interpreting dissolved oxygen! Dissolved oxygen discontinuities of +/- 25% or more coincident with sonde changes are noted as faulty if they do not fall within the actual rate of change occurring before and after the sonde change.

4) The reliability of turbidity measurements is much like that of oxygen. Turbidity measurements are best early and worst late in the deployment period.

5) The reliability of chlorophyll measurements is unknown. We do not currently know what the measurements mean in a biological context. Confirmation studies are underway.

6) If the word "<u>faulty</u>" appears regarding a trend or data period, the data should be considered highly unreliable. Do not use this data (if it wasn't deleted altogether) for anything but a general guideline to potential conditions. This designation is only used regarding data known to be of very poor quality.

7) If the phrase "**may be faulty**" appears regarding a trend or data period, the data may not be reliable. Typically, the data appears to be of reasonably good quality and probably does reflect the real trends in

environmental condition, but very strict interpretation is not recommended.

8) If a proper post-deployment check was not performed, reliability of all data for that deployment period must be considered suspect.

JANUARY--2004

Files: Data: IR-0401-raw, IR-0401-QAQC, IR-0401

Deployments: (3); 12/24-1/13, 1/13-1/29, 1/29-2/10

<u>Condition of Sondes:</u> 12/24-1/13 (very light fouling), 1/13-1/29 (very light fouling), 1/29-2/10 (very light fouling)

Removed Data:

	Parameter(s)	Problem	Data Points
	Turbidity	PF	1/13-1/29
		1	
2	orrected Data:		

12/24-1/13 Specific Conductivity: standard 10, probe 11.25 Formula: =(-((11.25-10)/(\$B\$2352-\$B\$1391))*(B1391-\$B\$1391))+D1391 Salinity =(0.715*Q1391)-3.0014 Oxygen: pre-deployment O2: 98.8 post-deployment O2: 105 standard 100, probe 105 Formula: =(-((105-98.8)/(\$B\$2352-\$B\$1391))*(B1391-\$B\$1391))+F1391 +(100-98.8) Conversion for O2 concentration:=3.82-(0.135*C1391)-(0.0393*R1391)+(0.0772*O1391) Turbidity: standard 0, probe 0.7; standard 100, probe na Formula: =(((((123/123)-1)*(J1391))-(0.7))*((B1391-\$B\$1391)/(\$B\$2352-\$B\$1391)))+J1391 Chlorophyll: standard 0.0, probe -0.5 Formula: =(-((-0.5-0)/(\$B\$2352-\$B\$1391))*(B1391-\$B\$1391))+K1391 1/13-1/29 Specific Conductivity: standard 10, probe 11.5 Formula: =(-((11.5-10)/(\$B\$1730-\$B\$964))*(B964-\$B\$964))+D964 Salinity =(0.729*Q964)-3.64 Oxygen: pre-deployment O2: 102.4 post-deployment O2: 90.5 standard 100, probe 86.6 Formula: =(-((90.5-102.4)/(B1730-B964))*(B964-B964))+F964 +(100-102.4) Conversion for O2 concentration:=3.97-(0.141*C964)-(0.0473*R964)+(0.0791*O964)Turbidity: **Not corrected due to probe failure (see below) Chlorophyll: standard 0.0, probe 1.9 Formula: =(-((1.9-0)/(\$B\$1730-\$B\$964))*(B964-\$B\$964))+K964

Form	<u>nductivity</u> : dard 10, probe 9.75 nula: =(-((9.75-10)/(\$B\$2306-\$B\$1732))*(B1732-\$B\$1732))+D1732 nity =(0.7267*Q1732)-3.5297
Oxygen:	
pre-c	deployment O2: 102.4
post-	-deployment O2: 82.1, 54, 53, 16.3
stand	dard 100, probe 17.5
**No	ot corrected (see below)
<u>Turbidity:</u>	
stand	dard 0, probe –0.2; standard 100, probe na
Form	nula: = (((((123/123)-1)*(J1732))-(-0.2))*((B1732-B\$1732)/(B\$2306-B\$1732)))+J1732
<u>Chlorophyll</u>	:
stand	dard 0.0, probe –0.1
Form	nula: = (-((-0.1-0)/(B\$2306-\$B\$1732))*(B1732-\$B\$1732))+K1732
stand	dard 0.0, probe –0.1

Problems and Anomalies:

<u>Turbidity</u> 1/13-1/29: Probe read -50 for entire deployment period with almost no variation suggesting a probe failure. This data was faulty and was deleted.

Oxygen 1/29-2/10: Less than thirty minutes after sonde was retrieved the oxygen probe read 82.1% in 100% standard; at thirty minute increments thereafter it read 54%, 53% and 16.3%, respectively. During post-deployment check probe read 17.5% in 100% standard. There was very little fouling (10% coverage) and the recorded data showed no evidence of a probe failure prior to sonde retrieval. This suggests the probe was damaged after retrieval. This data was not correctable. The original uncorrected data was retained but **may be faulty** due to fouling or drift. Interpret with caution.

FEBRUARY--2004

Files: Data: IR-0402-raw, IR-0402-QAQC, IR-0402

Deployments: (3); 1/29-2/10, 2/10-2/27, 2/27-3/12

Condition of Sondes: 1/29-2/10 (very light fouling), 2/10-2/27 (very light fouling), 2/27-3/12 (ok)

Removed Data:

	<u>ved Data</u> :	1	
Pa	rameter(s)	Problem	Data Points
Tu	rbidity	FOUL?	2/23 1216-2/17
Ch	lorophyll	FOUL?	2/23 1216-2/17
-	ected Data:		
1/29-2			
		onductivity	
		ndard 10, p	
			9.75-10)/(\$B\$2306-\$B\$1732))*(B1732-\$B\$1732))+D1732
	-	100.72	267*Q1732)-3.5297
	Oxygen:	danloumar	nt O2: 102.4
	-	1 ·	ent O2: 82.1, 54, 53, 16.3
	-	ndard 100, j	
			ed (see below)
	Turbidity:		
		ndard 0, pro	bbe –0.2; standard 100, probe na
	For	rmula: =(((((123/123)-1)*(J1732))-(-0.2))*((B1732-\$B\$1732)/(\$B\$2306-\$B\$1732)))+J173
	<u>Chlorophy</u>	<u>'ll</u> :	
		ndard 0.0, p	
		rmula: =(-((-0.1-0)/(\$B\$2306-\$B\$1732))*(B1732-\$B\$1732))+K1732
2/10-2			
		onductivity	
		ndard 10, pr	
			10.78-10)/(\$B\$1393-\$B\$578))*(B578-\$B\$578))+D578
		$\operatorname{IIIIIty} = (0.72)$	256*Q578)-3.4865
	Oxygen:	-deploymer	at $\Omega^2 \cdot 99.9$
	-	1 ·	ent O2: 88.4
	-	ndard 100, j	
			88.4-99.1)/(\$B\$1393-\$B\$578))*(B578-\$B\$578))+F578 +(100-99.9)
			r O2 concentration:= $3.86-(0.131*C578)-(0.0394*R578)+(0.0758*O578)$
	Turbidity:		
	sta	ndard 0, pro	bbe 85.3; standard 100, probe na
	**]	Not correcte	ed (see below)
	<u>Chlorophy</u>		
		ndard 0.0, p	
		Not correcte	ed (see below)
2/27-3			
	Specific C	onductivity	

Chlorophyll:

standard 0.0, probe 4.7 Formula: =(-((4.7-0)/(\$B\$2063-\$B\$1394))*(B1394-\$B\$1394))+K1394

Problems and Anomalies:

Oxygen 1/29-2/10: Less than thirty minutes after sonde was retrieved the oxygen probe read 82.1% in 100% standard; at thirty minute increments thereafter it read 54%, 53% and 16.3%, respectively. During post-deployment check probe read 17.5% in 100% standard. There was very little fouling (10% coverage) and the recorded data showed no evidence of a probe failure prior to sonde retrieval. This suggests the probe was damaged after retrieval. This data was not correctable. The original uncorrected data was retained but **may be faulty** due to fouling or drift. Interpret with caution.

<u>Turbidity</u> 2/10-2/27: Probe read 85.3NTU in 0.0NTU standard during post-deployment check. The last several days of the data record contained consistently high values that ceased when a new sonde was deployed on 2/27. This suggests the probe had become fouled. The suspected fouled portion of the record was deemed <u>faulty</u> and was deleted. The remaining uncorrected portion of the data was retained but <u>may be faulty</u> due to fouling or drift.

<u>Chlorophyll</u> 2/10-2/27: Probe read 7.7 in 0.0 standard during post-deployment check. The last several days of the data record contained consistently high values that ceased when a new sonde was deployed on 2/27. This suggests the probe had become fouled. The suspected fouled portion of the record was deemed <u>faulty</u> and was deleted. The remaining uncorrected portion of the data was retained but <u>may be faulty</u> due to fouling or drift.

MARCH--2004

Files: Data: IR-0403-raw, IR-0403-QAQC, IR-0403

Deployments: (3); 2/27-3/12, 3/12-3/31, 3/31-4/6

Condition of Sondes: 2/27-3/12 (ok), 3/12-3/31 (very light fouling), 3/31-4/6 (ok)

Removed Data:

Parameter(s)	Problem	Data Points
oxygen		

Corrected Data:

2/27-3/12

Specific Conductivity:

standard 10, probe 10.4 Formula: =(-((10.4-10)/(\$B\$2063-\$B\$1394))*(B1394-\$B\$1394))+D1394 Salinity =(0.73*Q1394)-3.73

Oxygen:

pre-deployment O2: 95.7 post-deployment O2: 88.5 standard 100, probe 100.4 Formula: =(-((88.5-95.7)/(\$B\$2063-\$B\$1394))*(B1394-\$B\$1394))+F1394 +(100-95.7) Conversion for O2 concentration:=4.14-(0.134*C1394)-(0.0403*R1394)+(0.0738*O1394)

Turbidity:

standard 0, probe 6.5; standard 100, probe

 $Formula: = (((((123/123)-1)*(J1394))-(6.5))*((B1394-\$B\$1394)/(\$B\$2063-\$B\$1394))) + J1394 \underline{Chlorophyll}:$

standard 0.0, probe 4.7 Formula: =(-((4.7-0)/(\$B\$2063-\$B\$1394))*(B1394-\$B\$1394))+K1394

3/12-3/31

Specific Conductivity:

standard 10, probe 9.8 Formula: =(-((9.8-10)/(\$B\$1582-\$B\$672))*(B672-\$B\$672))+D672 Salinity =(0.739*Q672)-4.159

Oxygen:

pre-deployment O2: 102.2 post-deployment O2: 85.3 standard 100, probe 88 **Not corrected (see below)

Turbidity:

standard 0, probe 1.4; standard 100, probe na

Formula: = (((((123/123)-1)*(J672))-(1.4))*((B672-\$B\$672)/(\$B\$1582-\$B\$672))) + J672

Chlorophyll:

standard 0.0, probe 11

**Not corrected (see below)

3/31-4/6

Specific Conductivity:

```
standard 10, probe 10.89
Formula: =(-((10.89-10)/($B$1870-$B$1583))*(B1583-$B$1583))+D1583
Salinity =(0.75*Q1583)-4.74
Oxygen:
pre-deployment O2: 107
post-deployment O2: 109.5
standard 100, probe 103.8
Formula: =(-((109.5-107)/($B$1870-$B$1583))*(B1583-$B$1583))+F1583 +(100-107)
Conversion for O2 concentration:=4.4-(0.134*C1583)-(0.0457*R1583)+(0.0726*O1583)
Turbidity:
standard 0, probe 1.2; standard 100, probe na
Formula: =(((((123/123)-1)*(J1583))-(1.2))*((B1583-$B$1583)/($B$1870-$B$1583)))+J1583
Chlorophyll:
standard 0.0, probe 0.3
```

Formula: =(-((0.3-0)/(\$B\$1870-\$B\$1582))*(B1583-\$B\$1583))+K1583

Problems and Anomalies:

Oxygen 3/12-3/31: Between 3/29 1546 and 3/31, DO charge was over the upper acceptable limit (75). This was not correctable. The data when DO charge was too high was <u>faulty</u> and was deleted. The remaining original data was retained but <u>may be faulty</u>.

<u>Chlorophyll</u> 3/12-3/31: When sonde was retrieved it read 11 in a 0.0 standard. If this correction was applied, many negative values would have resulted at the end of the data record, so the correction was not applied. The original data was retained but **may be faulty**.

APRIL--2004

Files: Data: IR-0404-raw, IR-0404-QAQC, IR-0404

Deployments: (3); 3/31-4/6, 4/6-4/19, 4/19-5/3

Condition of Sondes: 3/31-4/6 (ok), 4/6-4/19 (very light fouling), 4/19-5/3 (very light fouling)

Removed Data:

Parameter(s)	Problem	Data Points

Corrected Data:

3/31-4/6

Specific Conductivity:

standard 10, probe 10.89 Formula: =(-((10.89-10)/(\$B\$1870-\$B\$1583))*(B1583-\$B\$1583))+D1583 Salinity =(0.75*Q1583)-4.74

Oxygen:

pre-deployment O2: 107 post-deployment O2: 109.5 standard 100, probe 103.8 Formula: =(-((109.5-107)/(\$B\$1870-\$B\$1583))*(B1583-\$B\$1583))+F1583 +(100-107) Conversion for O2 concentration:=4.4-(0.134*C1583)-(0.0457*R1583)+(0.0726*O1583)

Turbidity:

standard 0, probe 1.2; standard 100, probe na

 $Formula: = (((((123/123)-1)*(J1583))-(1.2))*((B1583-\$B\$1583)/(\$B\$1870-\$B\$1583))) + J1583 \\ \underline{Chlorophyll}:$

standard 0.0, probe 0.3 Formula: =(-((0.3-0)/(\$B\$1870-\$B\$1582))*(B1583-\$B\$1583))+K1583

4/6-4/19

Specific Conductivity:

```
standard 10, probe 9.55
Formula: =(-((9.55-10)/($B$914-$B$292))*(B292-$B$292))+D292
Salinity =(0.733*Q292)-3.87
```

Oxygen:

```
\begin{array}{c} \label{eq:pre-deployment O2: 101.4} \\ \mbox{ post-deployment O2: 96.7} \\ \mbox{ standard 100, probe 64.6} \\ \mbox{ Formula: =(-((96.7-101.4)/($B$914-$B$292))*(B292-$B$292))+F292 +(100-101.4)} \\ \mbox{ Conversion for O2 concentration:=3.87-(0.114*C292)-(0.0398*R292)+(0.0701*O292)} \\ \hline \\ \mbox{ Turbidity: } \\ \mbox{ standard 0, probe -1.1; standard 100, probe na } \\ \mbox{ Formula: =(((((123/123)-1)*(J292))-(-1.1))*((B292-$B$292)/($B$914-$B$292)))+J292 } \\ \hline \\ \mbox{ Chlorophyll: } \\ \mbox{ standard 0.0, probe 4.1 } \\ \mbox{ Formula: =(-((4.1-0)/($B$914-$B$292))*(B292-$B$292))+K292 } \\ \mbox{ 4/19-5/3 } \end{array}
```

<u>Specific Conductivity</u> : standard 10, probe 10.08 Formula: =(-((10.08-10)/(\$B\$1587-\$B\$915))*(B915-\$B\$915))+D915 Salinity =(0.741*Q915)-4.3
Oxygen:
pre-deployment O2: 99.7
post-deployment O2: 100.1
standard 100, probe 102
Formula: =(-((100.1-99.7)/(\$B\$1587-\$B\$915))*(B915-\$B\$915))+F915 +(100-99.7)
Conversion for O2 concentration:=3.91-(0.106*C915)-(0.0339*R915)+(0.0669*O915)
Turbidity:
standard 0, probe; standard 100, probe 22.1
**Not corrected (see below)
Chlorophyll:
standard 0.0, probe –0.1
Formula: =(-((-0.1-0)/(\$B\$1587-\$B\$915))*(B915-\$B\$915))+K915

Problems and Anomalies:

<u>Turbidity</u> 4/19-5/3: When sonde was retrieved, it read 22.1NTU in 0.0NTU standard. If this correction was applied, many negative values would have resulted. The original uncorrected data was retained but <u>may be</u> <u>faulty</u> due to fouling or drift.